

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/543,163	NAKANISHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nathan Bloom	2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Ready for Examination

(1) Nathan Bloom.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David Metzger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2007

**Time:** 12:10

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed Amendment to fix the improper dependency issues of claims 2 and 23. Applicants' suggested that the proper dependencies were 1 and 18 respectively and gave permission for the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)